

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/082,941	02/25/2002 Thomas H. Johnson		271/283	5003	
23639 7	590 05/14/2004		EXAMINER		
	MCCUTCHEN LLP	HIRL, JOSEPH P			
	ARCADERO, SUITE 1800 ISCO, CA 94111-4067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2121	6	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004	~	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/			
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	7			
		10/082,94	10/082,941 JOHNSON, THOMAS		MAS H.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joseph P.		2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	Idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. rs, a reply within the stat y period will apply and w ry statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be to utory minimum of thirty (30) do till expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this of				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 25 February 20	02.					
·		This action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applie	cation.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 June 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	= : :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by			-	• •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu			a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doct			tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				Stane			
	application from the International E	•		ved in this National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	·	• • •	ved.				
Attachmen	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summai					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal		∩-152\			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	735/00)	6) Other:	. Storier appropriate (1 1)	- 1921			

Art Unit: 2121

# **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.
- 2. The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention. "Office personnel are to give the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. *In re Morris*, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. *In re Prater*, 415 F.2d, 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p 2100-8, c 2, I 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, I 1-4). The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or implicit in meaning.

# 3. Examiner's Opinion:

Para 2 above applies. The plurality of the intended operations described in the prior art is focused on the channel hub network (**Notarius**, p 0042).

Art Unit: 2121

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Notarius et al (U.S. Pub 2002/0123957, referred to as **Notarius**).

#### Claims 1, 11

Notarius anticipates displaying a first portlet to a first user through a first web browser associated with the first user (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173); receiving information identifying the appearance and operation of a survey from the first user, the information identifying the appearance and operation of the survey being transmitted through the first portlet (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173, 0296); storing the information identifying the appearance and operation of the survey in a database operatively connected to a portal database server (**Notarius**, Fig. 12); displaying a second portlet to a second user through a second web browser associated with the second user (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173; Examiner's Note (EN): there isn't any unreasonable limit to the number of portlets or other assets); and displaying the survey to the second user through the second portlet (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173, 0296).

#### **Claims 2, 12**

Notarius anticipates the portlet comprises means for reviewing and

Art Unit: 2121

confirming the information identifying the appearance and operation of the survey (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173, 0296).

#### **Claims 3, 13**

Notarius anticipates receiving one or more answers to the survey from the second user (**Notarius**, p 0290).

# **Claims 4, 14**

Notarius anticipates the operation of the survey may be configured such that different questions are displayed to the second user based upon one or more answers received from the second user (**Notarius**, p 0290).

## **Claims 5, 15**

Notarius anticipates wherein the operation of the survey may be configured to display one or more suggested answers to at least one question within the survey (**Notarius**, p 0298).

#### **Claims 6, 16**

Notarius anticipates generating a report based the one or more received answers, the report being a graphical indicator of what answers were received, and the report being displayable through either the first portlet or the second portlet or both (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173, 0296).

### **Claims 7, 17**

Notarius anticipates the information identifying the appearance and operation of the survey includes information related to at least three members

Art Unit: 2121

selected from the group consisting of: (a) survey name; (b) identification of the recipients; (c) allowing multiple replies to the survey; (d) the placement of the survey on a second portlet; (e) a set of end of survey options (f) welcome messages to the recipient; and (g) closing comments to the recipient (**Notarius**, ps 0296, 0118, EN: when reviewing other reviews of the same product, items (a)-(g) would naturally follow).

# **Claims 8, 18**

Notarius anticipates displaying a first portlet to a first user through a first web browser associated with the first user (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173); receiving information identifying the appearance and operation of a FAQ from the first user (**Notarius**, p 0657); and storing the information identifying the set of characteristics about the FAQ in a database operatively connected to a portal web server (**Notarius**, p 0651, Fig. 2).

#### Claims 9, 19

Notarius anticipates displaying to the user in the first portlet the information identifying the appearance and operation of the FAQ (**Notarius**, p 0657); and receiving from the user data confirming the information identifying the appearance and operation of the FAQ (**Notarius**, p 0657).

#### Claims 10, 20

Notarius anticipates displaying a second portlet to a second user through a second web browser associated with the second user (**Notarius**, ps 0782, 0282, 0173); and displaying the FAQ to the second user through the second portlet( **Notarius**, p 0657; EN: Notarius has a plurality of displays and computer functionalities).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,941 Page 6

Art Unit: 2121

#### **Conclusion**

- 6. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Burgess, U.S. Pub 2003/0135393
  - Sorensen et al, U.S. Pub 2002/0178031
  - Zhang, U.S. Pub 2002/0103777
  - MacLeod Beck et al, U.S. Pub 2001/0025309
  - Uchiyama, U.S. Pub 2002/0065802
  - Scheirer, U.S. Pub 2001/0056398
- 7. Claims 1-20 are rejected.

## **Correspondence Information**

Any inquiry concerning this information or related to the subject disclosure should be directed to the Examiner, Joseph P. Hirl, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1668. The Examiner can be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached at (703) 308-3179.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks,

Art Unit: 2121

Washington, D. C. 20231;

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry);

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7290 (for informal or draft communications with notation of

"Proposed" or "Draft" for the desk of the Examiner).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Receptionist, Crystal Park II

2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, Virginia.

Joseph P. Hirl

May 6, 2004